Polymer Bulletin 33, 355-359 (1994) Polymer **Polymer Bulletin** 9 Springer-Verlag 1994

Influence of thermal degradation on tensile strength and Young's modulus of poly(hydroxybutyrate)

A. Hoffmann, S. Kreuzberger, and G. Hinrichsen

Technical University of Berlin, Institute of Nonmetallic Materials, Polymer Physics, Englische Strasse 20, D-10587 Berlin, Germany

SUMMARY

Mierobially produced poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (P(3HB)) and a copolymer were investigated by measuring tensile strength, Young's modulus and molar mass after different periods of thermal treatment at temperatures slightly above their melting temperatures in order to describe the changes of molecular and mechanical properties. The tensile strength turns out to be strongly influenced by time and temperature of heat treatment. After a heat treatment for 10 min at 190 ~ the tensile strength drops to 30% of its initial value.

INTRODUCTION

Microbially produced polyesters, like P(3HB), belong to the family of thermoplastic polymers having a high degree of crystallinity and a well-defined melting endotherm at about 180 $\mathrm{°C}$ /1/ Applications of biodegradable polyesters include agricultural mulching films and packaging films as well as bottles and containers/2/. Furthermore, medical applications are well known, e.g. in cases of controlled drug release/3/, surgical sutures/4/and bone plates/5/.

P(3HB) homopolymer is thermally unstable in the melt and degrades to crotonic acid when kept at temperatures slightly above its melting temperature of about 180 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ /6/ for a relatively long period. The melting temperatures of PHB copolymers are lower than the melting temperature of pure P(3HB) depending on comonomer content. As has been pointed out PHB copolymers are thermally stable in the melt at temperatures below 160 \degree C, and conventional processing methods of thermoplastics can be used/7/.

Assuming that the chain scission is completely random and no volatilization occurs during thermal degradation of the PHB samples, the number average of the degree of polymerization at time t, (P_n) , is given by the following equation,

$$
\frac{1}{P_{n,t}} - \frac{1}{P_{n,0}} = k_d t
$$
 [1]

where P_{00} being the number average of the degree of polymerization and k_4 beeing the rate constant of thermal degradation (first order process). It is well known that molar mass is reduced by thermal degradation, but little information exists on the influence of thermal degradation on the tensile strength and Young's modulus of P(3HB).

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Investigations were made on native powders of P(3HB) produced by Petrochemie Danubia (Austria) with a melting temperature of 176 $^{\circ}$ C and a crystallinity of 80% as measured by X-ray and on P(3HB-co-5 mol%3HV) copolymer produced by ICI (UK) containing 5mo1% of hydroxyvalerate characterized by a melting temperature of 163 $^{\circ}$ C and an X- ray crystallinity of 75%.

Thermal treatment and sample production

Films were produced in a temperature-controlled hydraulic press (SPECAC 15.011) using a pressure of 7 MPa, a processing temperature of 180 and $190 °C$, respectively, and different periods of heat treatment, varying from 5 to 600 s. After pressing, the films were quenched in air at ambient temperature. The films were then annealed for 32 h at 75 $^{\circ}$ C, and finally the samples for mechanical investigations (s. Fig. 1) were cut from the films.

Figure 1 Sample for stress-strain measurements; dimensions in mm $(l_c: distance between clamps = 20 mm)$.

The samples with a typical thickness of $70 \mu m$ were conditioned at constant temperature (T= 60° C) and low humidity before testing. The crosshead velocity was 0.2 mm/min and the testing temperature about 23 \textdegree C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 2 and 3 show the tensile strength as a function of the duration of heat treatment at different processing temperatures. Each measured value of tensile strength as well as Young's modulus were averaged from at least five tests.

In a first approximation, the straight lines in the diagrams represent the supposed linear dependence of tensile strength on time of heat treatment. The same approximation has been used in the following two diagrams in which the dependence of Young's modulus on time of heat treatment is shown.

Figures 2 and 3 prove that the tensile strength strongly depends both on time and temperature of heat treatment. It is also obvious that the P(3HB) homopolymer exhibits a stronger decrease of tensile strength than the copolymer. After 10 minutes of thermal treatment at 190 $^{\circ}$ C the tensile strength of P(3HB) is reduced to one third of its initial value. This observation correlates with the results of GPC measurements which proved a decrease of molar mass with increasing time and temperature of heat treatment (s. Table 1).

Young's modulus depends only slightly on the time and temperature of heat treatment. While for P(3HB) the modulus is almost independent of time, for P(3HB-co-5mol%3HV) this quantity decreases slightly with time of heat treatment. This effect may be due to differences in crystallization and morphology caused by decreasing chain length of the macromolecules (s. Table 1).

	Temperature Time of of treatment treatment	M_n *10 ⁻³	$M_w * 10^{-3}$	M_{w}/M_{n}
$\mathop{\rm [^{\circ}C}\nolimits\!\!\!\!\!/}$	s	[g/mol]	[g/mol]	
As received 180 180 180 190 190	180 600 1200 300 1200	180 137 $\frac{78}{57}$ 41 12	320 221 136 82 67 23	1,78 1,61 .75 l.45 I,63 I,82

Table 1 Results of GPC measurements of P(3HB-co-5mol%3HV) (average of 3 values)

In Table 2 the values of the slope of the straight lines in Figures 3 to 6 are compiled which are characterized by the dimension [MPa/s] for tensile strength and [GPa/s] for Young's modulus, respectively. The calculated correlation coefficients prove that the measured values are represented by straight lines in a sufficient approximation.

Table 2 Slope of tensile strength and Young's modulus in dependence on time.

	Tempera- ture of treatment	Slope of tensile strength	a)	modulus a)	Correlation Slope of Correlation coefficient Young's coefficient
Material	PC	[MPa/s]		[GPa/s]	
P(3HB) $P(3HB$ -co-3HV) 180 P(3HB) $\overrightarrow{P(3HB}$ -co-3HV) 190	180 190	$-0,021$ $-0,014$ $-0,034$ $-0,028$	$-0,99$ -0.94 -0,97 -0,98	$-0,22$ $-1,35$ 0,62 $-0,46$	$-0,43$ $-0,95$ 0,73 $-0,80$

a) for the straight lines in Figures 3 to 6

Table 3 Constant k_d of thermal degradation according to equation [1] for P(3HB-co-5mol%3HV)

Temperature of treatment	K۸ [as measured]	Κd [Kunioka and Doi /7/]
$\rm ^{p}C$	$[1/\text{min}]$	$[1/\text{min}]$
180	$4,5*10^{-5}$ $3,1*10^{-4}$	$3,8*10^{-5}$ 1.4 $*10^{-4}$
190		

In general, the measured constants of thermal degradation confirm the values recently published by Kunioka and Doi $/7/$ who reported that the constant k_d depends only on the temperature of heat treatment, but not on the chemical structure of PHB homopolymer or eopolymer.

Figure6 Tensile strength of P(3HB) and P(3HB-co-5mol%3HV) at 180 and $190⁰C$ in dependence on number average of molar mass.

As shown in Figure 6 the tensile strength depends on the type of the polymer, the temperature of the heat treatment and the number average of molar mass. Again we suppose that the tensile strength is influenced by the morphology of the semicrystalline polymer. Higher temperature of the melt as well as lower molar mass of the polymer lead to a lower number of intrinsic nuclei for crystallization and hence to a lower number of spherulites with greater diameter. As reported by Holmes /1/ cracks are formed in the spherulites in PHB when the diameter reaches a critical value. These cracks lead to an embrittlement of the specimen and to lower tensile strength.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr A. Kleinke for providing them with the investigated polymers and for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. P.A. Holmes, in: *Development in Crystalline Polymers-2,* D.C. Bassett, Ed., Elsevier, London-New York, pp. 1-65 (1988)
- 2. Y Doi, *Microbial Polyesters,* VCH Publishers, New York (1990)
- 3. W. Korsatko, B. Wabnegg, G. Braunegg, R.M. Lafferty and F Strempfl, *Pharm. Ind.* 45, 525-527 (1983)
- 4. N.D. Miller and D.F Williams, *Biomaterials* 8, 129-137 (1987)
- 5. W. Bonfield, M.D. Grynpas, A.E. Tully, J. Bowman and J. Abram, *Biomaterials* 2, 185-186 (1981)
- 6. N. Grassie, E.J. Murray, P.A. Holmes, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.,* 6, 47-61 (1984)
- 7. M. Kunioka, Y Doi, *Macromolecules* 23, 1933-1936 (1990)
- 8. P.A. Holmes, *Phys. Teehnol.* 16, 32-36 (1985)

Accepted June 11, 1994 St